

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Rajinder Kumar Sharma,**  
S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,  
VPO Raisar,  
Tehsil & District Barnala – 148 101

Versus

**Public Information officer,**  
o/o Divisional Forest Officer,  
District Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Conservator of Forests,  
South Circle,  
District Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 1198 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

### PRESENT:

Rajinder Kumar Sharma (Appellant) 94635-12563  
Karamjit Kaur, Superintendent (for the Respondent) 98147-09045  
Paramjit Kaur, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 99147-06656  
Charanjit Singh, Deputy Range Officer (for the Respondent) 98156-91811

### ORDER:

1. The RTI applications are dated **18.9.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding Forest Range Barnala**, as enumerated in his RTI applications. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **14.1.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **4.3.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **5.8.2021**.

2. Both parties are present via Video Conference Facility. The respondent PIO has, in a letter to this Commission, stated that the concerned forest office has already addressed this RTI application to the satisfaction of the appellant. The appellant has also conveyed this in a letter to the Divisional Forest Officer, Sangrur. The appellant additionally confirmed that he has received the information, at today's hearing.

3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
5.8.2021

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Rajinder Kumar Sharma,**  
S/o Harbans Lal Sharma,  
VPO Raisar,  
Tehsil & District Barnala-148101

Versus

**Public Information officer,**  
o/o Divisional Forest Officer,  
District Sangrur.  
**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Conservator of Forests,  
South Circle, Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 117 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Rajinder Kumar Sharma (Appellant) 94635-12563  
Karamjit Kaur, Superintendent (for the Respondent) 98147-09045  
Paramjit Kaur, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 99147-06656  
Charanjit Singh, Deputy Range Officer (for the Respondent) 98156-91811

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 1.4.2021)*

1. The RTI application is dated **12.2.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding the Van Mpahotsav celebrations in 2013**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **3.6.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **1.4.2021**.

2. Both parties are present via Video Conference Facility. In a letter to the appellant – No. 544 dated 30.3.2021 – the respondent PIO has stated that the appellant has already received the information requested in this RTI application, in response to an earlier RTI application leading up to Appeal Case No.4273 of 2019. During deliberations at this hearing, it is evident that this appellant has filed several RTI applications requesting the same information by way of several RTI applications. This includes cases that have already been disposed of by the Commission.

3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **DISMISSED**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
5.8.2021

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Rajinder Kumar Sharma,**  
S/o Harbans Lal Sharma,  
VPO Raisar,  
Tehsil & District Barnala – 148 101

Versus

**Public Information officer,**  
o/o Divisional Forest Officer,  
District Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Conservator of Forests,  
South Circle, Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 181 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Rajinder Kumar Sharma (Appellant) 94635-12563  
Karamjit Kaur, Superintendent (for the Respondent) 98147-09045  
Paramjit Kaur, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 99147-06656  
Charanjit Singh, Deputy Range Officer (for the Respondent) 98156-91811

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 1.4.2021)*

1. The RTI application is dated **24.2.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding the Van Mahotsav celebrations in the year 2013**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **20.10.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **1.4.2021**.

2. Both parties are present via Video Conference Facility. In a letter to the Commission – No. 494 dated 19.3.2021 – the respondent PIO has pointed out that the information requested in this RTI application is identical to that already given to him in an Appeal Case No. 3957 of 2019. During deliberation at this hearing, the appellant has also admitted that the information requested in this RTI application is similar / identical to that sought in Appeal Case No. 361 of 2020.

3. It is this Commission's view that repeated disclosure of the same or similar information to this appellant serve no public interest. Such RTI requests can only result in avoidable disruptions in the working of public authorities.

4. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith, **CLOSED**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.  
Chandigarh  
5.8.2021

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),

Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Rajinder Kumar Sharma,**  
S/o Harbans Lal Sharma,  
VPO Raisar,  
Tehsil & District Barnala-14801

Versus

**Public Information officer,**  
o/o Forest Divisional Officer,  
District Sangrur.  
**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Conservator of Forests,  
South Circle, Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 997 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Rajinder Kumar Sharma (Appellant) 94635-12563  
Karamjit Kaur, Superintendent (for the Respondent) 98147-09045  
Paramjit Kaur, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 99147-06656  
Charanjit Singh, Deputy Range Officer (for the Respondent) 98156-91811

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 1.4.2021)*

1. The RTI application is dated **31.10.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding works done in the Sangrur Forest Division from 1.1.2020 to 31.3.2020**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.12.2020** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.2.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **1.4.2021**.
2. Both parties are present via Video Conference Facility. In a letter to the Commission (No. 171 dated 16.6.2021), the respondent PIO has enclosed the appellant's statement signed before the Forest Range Officer Sangrur, wherein he has expressed satisfaction with the information furnished to him. The appellant has also confirmed that he has received the information.
3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
5.8.2021